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The Graduate Degree in Sociology

Western Illinois University’s Department of Sociology and Anthropology offers a Master of Arts (M.A.) degree in sociology. The M.A. in sociology qualifies individuals for jobs in government, human service agencies, and businesses which specifically call for a social science or behavioral science master’s degree. Community colleges employ M.A. graduates as teachers, and some universities employ them as entry level, temporary teachers. The M.A. also serves as a preliminary to further study for a Ph.D. degree in sociology.

The ongoing programs of applied and basic research, combined with a commitment to teaching excellence, provide the opportunity for sociology graduate students to work side-by-side with award winning faculty in an environment which reinforces the philosophy of “learning by doing.”

Consider these specific advantages:

- Excellent chance for assistantship funding
- Small classes
- Work closely with professors
- Teaching opportunities for advanced students
- Thesis, Non-Thesis, or Internship Exit Options
- Increased job options and preparation for doctoral studies

About Our Graduates

Students with a master’s degree in sociology have an abundant choice of job possibilities. Some of our graduates teach in universities and community colleges across the country. Others hold governmental administrative positions such as those in the Federal Emergency Management Agency and the Illinois Department of Children and Family Services. Some of our graduates work with teens and adults in group homes. Some graduates use their degrees to advance in rank and pay in jobs they held while taking classes.

By the Numbers

There are typically about 15 graduate students in the master's program. This is a moderately sized graduate program; recent studies have concluded that the program ranks first in terms of size and production of graduates among the 83 "MA only" programs in the United States.

- About 80% who enter the program will earn a master's degree within four semesters.
- Through aggressive pursuit of additional funding from the University, our department typically funds up to 7-8 graduate assistantships each semester.
- Nearly all our graduates find work for which their master's degree has prepared them (business, social services, courts and probation, communication, and teaching in community colleges).
- About **20% of our graduates go on** to obtain a doctorate in sociology or related disciplines.

**Success in the Program**

In the words of Norman K. Denzin, "Doing sociology is not easy." Those of you considering obtaining a Master of Arts degree in sociology should be prepared to work harder than you ever worked as an undergraduate. You will read more than you ever thought possible, you will write more in one course, perhaps, than you wrote during your entire undergraduate career. Hopefully, in meeting these challenges, your skills will become sharper and you will experience the thrill of discovery and accomplishment. You will meet people who will become friends and colleagues for years to come.

**Undergraduate preparation**

Sociology majors with strong grades generally do well in the program. If your undergraduate grades were weak, you must be prepared to make a substantial and immediate improvement in academic performance. Only two grades of C are allowed in graduate school, and D's and F's can be assigned by faculty.

**Writing skills**

You will likely be expected to write examinations, article critiques, and a 20 page term paper in your very first, and all other graduate courses. Be prepared to read and criticize each other's work. Be prepared to improve your writing skills while in graduate school, but do not think you can learn to write in graduate school. You will not have time! The single greatest barrier to success in graduate school is weak writing skills.

**Advisors and mentors**

You must be willing to seek and accept advice from faculty and other students in both academic and procedural matters. You must be able to effectively use criticism of your academic work from professors.

**Student etiquette**

There is a high standard of student etiquette in graduate school. You are expected to arrive to class on time. You are expected to have read the assigned material beforehand, so that you can participate in class discussion. To do otherwise is to waste your time and that of the faculty and the other graduate students. You are expected to turn in assignments when they are due.

**Graduate student subculture**

We have a sociology graduate student organization that works for the benefit of the students, and an annual sociology symposium is held for graduate and undergraduate papers. In short, be willing to study and work together and to share your concerns and triumphs. Some of these people will remain your friends and colleagues for life.

Working for a Masters degree is usually the first step in a career as a sociologist. Some who attain the Masters degree will enter jobs bearing titles other than "sociologist", but will use their sociological skills and insights, nonetheless. A few who attain the Masters degree will go on to Ph.D. programs in sociology, will enter university teaching, conduct research, and carry the title "sociologist" in a department of sociology.

**GRADUATE FACULTY**

**Professors**

Tawnya Adkins Covert, Ph.D., Purdue University
Davison Bideshi, Ph.D., University of California-Riverside  
Robert Hironimus-Wendt, Ph.D., North Carolina State University  
Elgin Mannion, Ph.D., University of Kentucky  
Patrick McGinty, Ph.D., University of Missouri-Columbia  
Heather McIlvaine-Newsad, Ph.D., University of Florida  
Craig Tollini, Ph.D., Western Michigan University  
Lora Ebert Wallace, Ph.D., Iowa State University  
Oswald Warner, Ph.D., Michigan State University  

Associate Professors  

Gordon Chang, Ph.D., University of California-San Diego  
Christina Davis, Ph.D., University of Michigan-Ann Arbor  

Associate Graduate Faculty  

Associate Professor  
Andrea Alveshere, Ph.D., University of Minnesota-Twin City  

Assistant Professors  
Tammy Werner, Ph.D., University of Kentucky
IMPORTANT DEADLINES FOR STUDENTS AND FACULTY

Application for Graduation
Students must submit Application for Graduation to the School of Graduate Studies by the following dates:

- Spring semester - March 10
- Summer semester - June 10
- Fall semester - October 10

Applications received after these dates will be automatically moved to the next semester.

Application for Post-Baccalaureate Certificate Completion
Students completing a post-baccalaureate certificate must submit the Application for Post-Baccalaureate Certificate Completion (PDF or Word) form to the School of Graduate Studies by the dates listed above.

Final Submission of Thesis

Thesis - Electronic
Electronic theses must be submitted to the School of Graduate Studies by the Friday before finals week.
If you have any questions, please contact the School of Graduate Studies:

School of Graduate Studies
Sherman Hall 116
Macomb, IL 61455
Phone: (309)298-1806
Fax: (309)298-2345 Toll Free: 1-877-WIU Grad
Grad-Office@wiu.edu
THE PROGRAM

The Master of Arts degree program in sociology is designed to meet the needs of students having interests in several substantive areas including criminology and deviance, modernization and demography, social change and collective behavior, the family, organizations, stratification, and race and ethnicity. The Master of Arts degree in sociology qualifies individuals for jobs in government, human service agencies, and businesses, which require a social science or behavioral science master’s degree. Community colleges employ master’s graduates as teachers, and some universities employ them as entry level, temporary teachers. The Master of Arts degree also serves as preparation toward a Ph.D. degree in sociology.

An Integrated Bachelor of Arts and Master of Arts Degree in Sociology is offered to WIU students majoring in sociology. The Integrated Baccalaureate and Master of Arts Degree Program (IBMP) in Sociology provides an opportunity for outstanding undergraduate Sociology majors to complete both Bachelor and Master of Arts (BA and MA) degrees in five years. Typically, the baccalaureate degree requires four years and a master’s degree requires an additional minimum of two years. The goals of the program are to teach students discipline specific history, theory, and methods as well as to develop critical thinking and technical skills in utilizing the sociological perspective for understanding the social world and affecting positive change. Contact the Graduate Advisor for additional particulars of the program.

Admission Requirements

Applicants for admission to the graduate program in sociology must have a 2.75 overall undergraduate GPA or a 3.0 or higher for the last two years. Applicants must submit a Statement of Purpose/Goals Statement of at least 2 pages, a Writing Sample (7-10 pages+), undergraduate transcripts, and three current academic Letters of Recommendation. Students are encouraged to take the Graduate Record Examination prior to admission, which is strongly recommended for those applying for graduate assistantships. Students who have not had a course in statistics are required to take a course in elementary statistics.

Degree Requirements

The Master of Arts degree in sociology may be earned by satisfying either the requirements of the Thesis, the General Sociology (Non-Thesis) Plan, or the Internship Option. A degree plan must be submitted and approved by the graduate committee before the thesis proposal or paper is presented. No more than three semester hours outside the department (six semester hours for Peace Corps Fellows), 3 semester hours for SOC 501, and six semester hours for 400-G level courses may be included on the degree plan. Consult with the Graduate Advisor to ensure that you are meeting the Program requirements for graduation as you matriculate toward the Masters.

Undergraduate Sociology students may apply for admission to the Integrated Baccalaureate and Master of Arts Degree Program (IBMP) after completing 60 semester hours (sh) of
undergraduate coursework, of which a minimum of 30 sh must be at Western Illinois University. Applicants for admission to the integrated program in Sociology must have a cumulative GPA of at least 3.50 and a major GPA of at least 3.75.

Admission must be granted by the School of Graduate Studies before a student will be allowed to enroll in IBMP bridge (“B”) courses. Students may begin taking bridge courses after the completion of 90 sh. Students in the integrated program may use up to 9 sh of bridge courses to satisfy both the BA and MA degrees. Please see list of courses on the reverse side of this sheet or consult with the Graduate Advisor.

Students must complete ALL core requirements in Theory and Methods with a B or better.

Exit Options

All students in the Department must choose ONE of three Exit Option Plans for the Masters of Arts in Sociology: 1) Thesis, 2) Non-Thesis, or 3) Internship. Each Exit Option has a different set of requirements, but ALL have the CORE requirements in common. Classes that are italicised constitute the CORE requirements. Please consult with the Graduate Advisor to file a Graduate Degree Plan.

**OPTION 1: THESIS PLAN**

SOC 518: Classical Theory (3)
SOC 519: Contemporary Sociological Theory (3)
SOC 530: Statistical Methods (3)
SOC 531: Quantitative Methods (3)
SOC 600: Thesis Research (3)
SOC 601: Thesis in Sociology (3)
Directed Electives (12)

TOTAL PROGRAM ...........................................................................................................30 s.h.

Note: A Thesis Proposal must be approved by the student’s thesis committee before research for the thesis is undertaken. A preliminary and final oral defense of the thesis is compulsory.

**OPTION 2: NON-THESIS PLAN**

SOC 518: Classical Theory (3)
SOC 519: Contemporary Sociological Theory (3)
SOC 530: Statistical Methods (3)
SOC 531: Quantitative Methods (3)
SOC 699: Sociology Non-Thesis Paper (3)
Directed Electives (15)

TOTAL PROGRAM ...........................................................................................................30 s.h.

Note: An oral presentation of a paper, which can be based on an area of the student’s course work, will be given to the departmental faculty. The paper must be approved by the student’s committee chair and the two committee members selected by the student, and the paper is to be kept on file in the Department.

**OPTION 3: INTERNSHIP PLAN**

SOC 518: Classical Theory (3)
SOC 519: Contemporary Sociological Theory (3)
SOC 530: Statistical Methods (3)
SOC 531: Quantitative Methods (3)
SOC 694: Graduate Internship (6, in total)
SOC 698: Internship Presentation (0)
Directed Electives (12)

TOTAL PROGRAM .................................................................................................................................. 30 s.h.

Note: Students are required to produce a written paper chronicling their field experience and do an oral presentation to the student’s exit option committee (and to other public attendees) at the conclusion of the Internship.

INTEGRATED BA/MA SAMPLE PROGRAM

THESIS OPTION

First Year (undergraduate senior year): 18 sh Fall
SOC 518: Classical Sociological Theory (3 sh)
SOC 530: Statistical Methods (3 sh)
SOC 400G/500 and above course (3 sh)

Spring
SOC 519: Contemporary Sociological Theory (3 sh)
SOC 531: Quantitative Methods (3 sh)
SOC 400G/500 and above course (3 sh)

Second Year: 12-18 sh
SOC 400G/500 and above course (3 sh)
SOC 600: Thesis Research (3 sh)
SOC 601: Thesis in Sociology (3 sh)

Criteria for Committee Members

Full graduate faculty may serve as chairs or members of all exit committees (thesis, non-thesis, internships).

Associate graduate faculty may serve as members of all exit committees, and may petition to serve as chairs of exit committees.

All other faculty members must petition to serve on committees.

If a student would like to include a faculty member from outside the department, it is best to create a full committee of department faculty, and then add the outside faculty as a fourth committee member. Otherwise, adding an outside faculty member will require a petition.

All petitions referenced above need to be approved by the Graduate Committee, Department Chair, and Graduate Studies.

STUDENTS’ RESPONSIBILITY

Students are responsible for knowing degree requirements and enrolling in courses that will enable them to complete their degree programs. It is also each student’s responsibility to know the University regulations for the standard of work required to continue in graduate school. Degree requirements are presented in this publication. Additional details about
requirements and procedures are available from the School of Graduate Studies or at wiu.edu/grad.

Right of Academic Appeal

Graduate students have the right to appeal the implementation of any University regulation that relates to admission, academic standards, assistantships, or graduation by submitting a petition form (wiu.edu/grad/petition) to the Graduate Council. Such appeals must be based upon the existence of unusual or extenuating circumstances that have prevented the student from achieving the normal University standard, and evidence of these unusual or extenuating circumstances must be presented with the appeal. Such appeals must be submitted to the Graduate School. The appeal process can be found at wiu.edu/grad.

Thesis Option

General Information

Given the fact that one can earn a Master's degree in this program without writing a thesis, the question arises "Why should I write a thesis?". There are several reasons, including the time honored tradition of writing a thesis, and the fact that some - but certainly not all - Ph.D. programs require a Master's thesis as a prerequisite. Perhaps the soundest reason of all for writing a thesis is having a sociological question that you really want to answer. Do your future plans, particularly Ph.D. programs, require a thesis? Examine what those before you have done - theses of former students are in our department chair's office, and the library.

Requirements -- 30 credit hours

- Students must successfully complete 12 hours of required coursework prior to registering for Soc 600.
  - Soc 518 (Classical Theory, 3 c.h.)
  - Soc 519 (Contemporary Sociological Theory, 3 c.h.)
  - Soc 530 (Statistical Methods, 3 c.h.)
  - Soc 531 (Quantitative Methods, 3 c.h.)
- Directed Electives (12 c.h.)
- Soc 600 (Thesis Research, 3 c.h.)
- Soc 601 (Thesis in Sociology, 3 c.h.)

A thesis proposal must be approved by the student's thesis committee before research for the thesis is undertaken. A final oral defense of the thesis is required.

Steps in Writing a Thesis

1. **You must contact the thesis chair to agree on the thesis topic that you will work on together.** The length of the work will be a function of the nature of the Thesis—whether quantitative, qualitative, or a combination, but at the minimum, it should be beyond the length of a lengthy peer reviewed journal article (more than 30 pages).

2. **You must complete required graduate courses prior to beginning thesis work.** Students must successfully complete Soc 518 (Classical Theory), Soc 519 (Contemporary
Sociological Theory), Soc 530 (Statistical Methods), and Soc 531 (Quantitative Methods) prior to registering for Soc 600 and 601 and conducting thesis research.

3. **You must select a thesis chair (second or third semester).**
   Criteria: Sociology graduate faculty, Professor's area of specialization, Favorite Professor. You must contact the professor and get a firm commitment from the professor, i.e. ascertain a thesis topic.

4. **You and your thesis chair must select a thesis committee.**
   Usually a thesis committee consists of three members, the chair and two other faculty members who will advise and assist you in writing your thesis. Committee members may come from among the Sociology and Anthropology department faculty. A faculty member from outside the department may be serve as an extra committee member--or, be counted among the three required members upon a successful petition. Committee members are selected on the basis of specialization and compatibility. Once selected, students should complete and submit a Thesis Declaration Form to the graduate committee. Prior to enrolling in Sociology 600, students must meet with the department chair to discuss the thesis topic and thesis committee composition.

5. **Thesis proposal (third semester – enrollment in Sociology 600).**
   You and your committee will develop a statement of problem, literature review, and a design for collecting data, which may include a questionnaire. This material will be presented by you to interested department faculty and graduate students for their review, comments and suggestions. This hearing may result in a revision of your thesis plans, or a "go-ahead" for data collection. A copy of your proposal should be made available (in department office) at least one week prior to your scheduled thesis proposal defense. In addition to committee approval, you must receive Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval prior to collecting your data.

6. **Thesis writing (fourth semester – enrollment in Sociology 601).**
   Data are collected, analyzed, and written up. Summary and conclusions chapter is written.

7. **Thesis Defense (fourth semester).**
   When your committee is satisfied that the thesis is in optimum form, you will present your work to interested faculty and graduate students. Thesis defenses are scheduled for 90 minutes. During this time you will formally present your thesis research. Your committee members and other members of the audience will then be given the opportunity to question you regarding your methods (data collection), analysis (coding, statistical procedures), and conclusions. A copy of your thesis MUST be placed in the department office at least one week prior to your thesis defense. Your thesis committee may approve the thesis fully or subject to revision. Departmental Clearance form is signed and submitted to School of Graduate Studies.

8. **Final Draft (fourth semester).**
   After your thesis defense you must prepare a final draft (including any revisions suggested by committee) of your thesis and present it to your committee for final approval and signatures. This draft must be grammatically correct, free of typographic, spelling and other errors, and must be prepared according to the graduate school standards. These standards are available
at the graduate office or from the Sociology Graduate Committee Chair, or see: http://www.wiu.edu/graduate_studies/thesis_and_dissertation/index.php

* Note: Thesis committee members are not students’ editors. In order for students’ final product to meet the minimum standard, students with weak writing abilities are advised to seek help with writing and editing in advance (the process of which could take 2-3 weeks), either on- or off-campus.

Graduate Thesis Titles

- “Gender Equity in Sub-Saharan Africa: The Sexual/Reproductive Dimension”
- “The Fall of The Iron Curtain and People’s Dream of Independence and Democracy in Turkmenistan from 1991 to 2006”
- “The Relationship Between Men’s Perceived Similarity in Masculinity to Fraternity Members and Their Intentions to Join a Fraternity”
- “Micropolitan Community Viability: The Impact of Economic Restructuring on Social Mobility and Social Cohesion in Galesburg, Illinois”
- “Internalizing Empathy: The Influence of Social Morality On Attitudes Towards Animal Rights”
- “Emergency Contraception: Use and Perceptions among College Women”
- “The Attitudes of Education: Understanding the Effects of Academic Training on Social Distance Attitudes”
- “Fertility Decision-Making Processes among Japanese Women”
- “Presentation of Gay Men in Advertisements in Gay Media: A Content Analysis”

Non-Thesis Option

General Information
A person may obtain the Master of Arts degree in sociology by taking 30 hours of course credit, and presenting a non-thesis paper. The extra courses represented in the non-thesis option are recommended for students who were not undergraduate majors in sociology. Extra courses may also benefit those interested in teaching at the junior college level. There are also the practical consideration that the non-thesis option is more likely to be completed in a timely manner than the thesis option. Finally, students who find writing difficult, or students who have received two or more grades of B or a grade of C in their graduate courses may wish to do the non-thesis option. By pursuing the non-thesis option, a student can still opt for the thesis option as late as their last semester without taking extra courses. On the other hand, those taking thesis writing courses in their third semester may have to take extra courses to complete the non-thesis option.

Requirements -- 30 credit hours

- Students must successfully complete 12 hours of required coursework prior to registering for Soc 699.
  - Soc 518 (Classical Theory, 3 c.h.)
  - Soc 519 (Contemporary Sociological Theory, 3 c.h.)
  - Soc 530 (Statistical Methods, 3 c.h.)
  - Soc 531 (Quantitative Methods, 3 c.h.)
- Directed Electives (15 c.h.)
• Soc 699 (Non-thesis Paper, 3 c.h.)

You are also required to present a paper at the conclusion of your program. This paper will be retained by the department, and will be considered representative of the quality of your work.

**Non-Thesis Option Paper**

Many non-thesis option papers were initially written in a graduate course. You may therefore want to consider the paper which received the most favorable comments from faculty, the paper you most enjoyed writing, or the paper in which you expressed your best sociological ideas. The length of the paper is negotiated with the faculty members, but as a general rule, should be in the range, at a minimum, of a peer reviewed journal article (25-30 pages).

Non-thesis papers are intended to illustrate a student’s understanding of a sociological theory, exploration of a substantive sociological literature, or application of sociological methods to understanding a social issue or topic. Students completing non-thesis papers are not required to collect and analyze primary data, but may use small-scale research projects (those not considered by faculty to be thesis-scale in their length or complexity) as non-thesis papers.

1. **Select a primary reader** (third semester).
   You must ask a faculty member to be the primary reader for the paper. This may be the faculty member in whose class the paper was originally written.

2. **Select two additional readers** (third or fourth semester)
   You must select a primary reader no later than the end of the 2nd week of your final semester. Together, you and the primary reader should select a second and third reader. The readers should then read the paper, and suggest changes that will strengthen the paper. Ideally, you should secure all readers’ approval of the final version of your paper by the 12th week of your final semester.

3. **Register for Sociology 699** (fourth semester).
   Students must schedule a meeting with the department chairperson to obtain approval to register for Sociology 699 (Sociology Non-thesis Paper) for your final semester in the program. Sociology 699 is a non-credit, S/U graded course required for all students selecting the non-thesis option.

4. **Provide a copy of paper to the department** (fourth semester).
   A clean copy of the paper should be made available to the department a week before the formal presentation. This paper should be submitted to the departmental secretary.

5. **Present your paper** (fourth semester).
   Our department requires that each student formally present their non-thesis papers. The primary reader will schedule the Morgan Hall conference room for an hour (or more) during which the student will make a presentation to interested faculty and students. Some students have presented their paper at the Midwest Sociological Society meetings or other occasions. Students should prepare a 20-30 minute presentation summarizing their paper and discussing the sociological relevance of their chosen topic/issue.
* Note: Thesis committee members are not students’ editors. In order for students’ final product to meet the minimum standard, students with weak writing abilities are advised to seek help with writing and editing in advance (the process of which could take 2-3 weeks), either on- or off-campus.

Internship Option

General Information
This Option is a natural extension of our “non-thesis” program as it focuses on students who do not intend to continue their education or work in an academic setting. An internship will allow these students to 1) gain job related experience that may help in post-degree employment and 2) see firsthand how sociology can be utilized/applied to these types of settings. Students will take knowledge gained in coursework and apply it to their internship site. This will involve applying sociological theories, concepts, and methodological tools to "real-world" situations and organizations. This may include: assessment/evaluation of organization or program(s) within the organization, development and implementation of program(s), or applying sociological principles to organizational setting, goals, or interactions/structure.

This Option will be available to graduate students only and requires additional assignment of relevant readings related to the internship site (not required of our undergraduate interns).

General Sociology Internship Plan
Requirements -- 30 credit hours

- Students must successfully complete 12 hours of required coursework prior to registering for Soc 694.
  - Soc 518 (Classical Theory, 3 c.h.)
  - Soc 519 (Contemporary Sociological Theory, 3 c.h.)
  - Soc 530 (Statistical Methods, 3 c.h.)
  - Soc 531 (Quantitative Methods, 3 c.h.)
- Directed Electives (12 c.h.)
- Soc 694 (Graduate Internship, 6 c.h. in total)
- Soc 698 (Internship Presentation, 0 c.h.)

I. Goals
Apply sociological theories, concepts, and methodological tools to "real-world" situations and organizations.

II. Restrictions
The graduate internship exit option is available to graduate students in Sociology who have completed at least 21 credit hours of graduate work in the program. Students will enroll in 6 hours of Sociology 694 (Graduate Internship) as well as Sociology 698 (Internship Presentation).

III. Gaining Approval for the Internship Exit Option
A. Students interested in completing the internship exit option should first identify a faculty member with whom they wish to work. This faculty member will serve as the student’s departmental internship supervisor as well as chair of the student's internship exit option committee.

B. Consulting with their committee chair, it is the student’s responsibility to:

1. identify an internship site and obtain a detailed job description and written approval from an internship site coordinator; and

2. identify one other member of the graduate faculty from the department to serve on the internship committee. The Graduate Advisor automatically serves as the third member of the internship committee.

C. With the internship site secured and the internship committee organized, students should prepare the following items:

1. a summary statement regarding the potential relevance of sociological concepts to the selected site;

2. a job description and letter of approval from the site coordinator;

3. a list of relevant readings to be completed in connection with the internship (if required by faculty advisor); and

4. a Committee Approval Form (found at: http://www.wiu.edu/graduate_studies/current_students/forms/CommitteeApproval.pdf), to be signed by the student, Graduate Advisor and the Internship Committee Chair.

Once prepared, students must schedule a meeting with the department chair to discuss the proposed internship and register for SOC 694 and SOC 698. This packet should be presented to the department chair for their signature during that meeting.

III. Requirements

A. Contact/Work Hours
In general, students should log at least 300 contact/work hours for Sociology 694. A total of 6 credit hours of SOC 694 is required; students may choose to fulfill the 6-semester-hour requirement in one semester or split up the 6-semester-hour obligation across multiple semesters. Additional unlogged time will be required for the completion of a daily log, readings, and preparation of final report and presentation.

B. Weekly Log of Internship Duties and Activities
Students should maintain a weekly log which examines the internship site in terms of a Sociological Perspective or relevant sociological literature. Your log should be more than a “diary” of events and tasks. It should reflect on social interactions and
organizational features using concepts, theories, and research from your graduate coursework in sociology.

C. Relevant Readings
Internship advisors may require students to complete a set of required readings relevant to the internship site.

D. Written Report
Students will submit a formal report to their internship advisor by the end of the semester in which the internship was conducted. The length and scope of this report will be determined by the faculty advisor, but the paper should compare their experiences to the findings in sociological theories and research reviewed in their previous courses and relevant readings and comment on the relationship (or lack of) between your substantive coursework in at least two of your graduate sociology courses at WIU and this internship experience. The length of the paper is negotiated with the faculty members, but as a general rule, should be in the range, at the minimum, of a peer reviewed journal article (25-30 pages).

E. Site Coordinator Report
A report must be submitted by the student’s site coordinator verifying the accumulation of the minimum 300 contact/work hours, outlining the student’s responsibilities and tasks completed, and evaluating the student’s performance in these tasks.

F. Internship Advisor Contact
Students should be in regular contact (at least monthly) with the faculty member advising the internship.
**SPECIAL REGISTRATION PERMISSION**

As you matriculate through the graduate program, keep in mind that certain courses require a consultation with the Chair of the Department before you are able to register. In fact, only the Chair can grant permission to enroll or enroll you in the following courses:

- Soc 501: Individual Readings (3 s.h.)
- Soc 600: Thesis Research (3 s.h.)
- Soc 601: Thesis in Sociology (3 s.h.)
- Soc 694: Graduate Internship (repeatable up to 6 s.h.)
- Soc 698: Internship Presentation (0 s.h.)
- Soc 699: Sociology Non-Thesis Paper (3 s.h.)
- UNIV 695: Continuing Enrollment

While the Graduate Advisor is able to assist and guide you in the selection of courses in the program, only the Chair has the authority to enroll you in these courses. Make an appointment for a consultation if you are planning to take any of these courses.

If you have any questions regarding requirements, courses, or are unsure about what to enroll in, please contact the Graduate Advisor to make an appointment to review your file.

**Continuous Enrollment in Thesis or Exit Option**

Most students, both full- and part-time, prefer to pursue an advanced degree by taking classes continuously (e.g., at least one course every fall and spring semester until completion). Thus, it is important that students file degree plans in a timely manner and, for those who interrupt their program of study, to note the maximum time period allowed for fulfilling all requirements for the degree sought. (See section entitled “Time to Complete Degree/Revalidation of Courses.”)

Once a student has begun work on a thesis or other exit option, it is expected that such work should progress continuously through each regular academic semester. A student working on an exit option should enroll in the course(s) for which academic credit is given for that option. Once all other degree requirements as stipulated on the degree plan are met, students who have an incomplete grade in exit option courses must maintain their enrollment with the university in order to make use of academic and non-academic services (e.g., laboratories, library, faculty access). Enrollment must be maintained every semester during which a student is continuing to complete an exit option. Students enrolling in no other credit hours who have not completed exit option requirements in their program must enroll in UNIV 695 (maximum 1 s.h. per semester) until a final grade is received for the exit option activity and the degree is completed, or the time period to complete the degree is exceeded. The requirement for continuous registration does not apply during a summer term. Students must complete a Request to Enroll in UNIV 695, Continuing Enrollment and submit the form to the School of Graduate Studies to request initial registration for UNIV 695. Students seeking an exception to this policy should submit a petition to the School of Graduate Studies.

**Failure to Register for UNIV 695:** After the student has requested registration for one semester of UNIV 695, the Office of the Registrar will automatically register and assess tuition and fees for each semester of UNIV 695 until continuous registration is interrupted. Continuous registration is
interrupted by completion of the degree, non-payment of the tuition bill, or expiration of the time
limit to complete the program of study. All students who meet the criteria delineated in this policy
must initiate continuous enrollment registration through the School of Graduate Studies or petition
for a leave of absence from the University. Any student who fails to initiate registration or interrupts
continuous registration without obtaining a leave of absence from the School of Graduate Studies
must enroll in one credit hour of UNIV 695 for each of the delinquent semesters upon
re-enrollment and/or reinstatement, or as a condition of having the degree conferred. Any student
requesting reinstatement in a degree program must submit an online application for readmission to
the School of Graduate Studies.

Grade Appeals Policy
The purpose of the grade appeal policy is to ensure that grades represent a fair and consistent
evaluation of student performance.
A graduate student who believes he/she was unjustly evaluated in a course must discuss the matter
privately with the professor involved by the end of the second week of the regular semester (Fall or
Spring) following the term in which the student received the grade in question. Grade appeal
hearings are normally heard during the Fall/Spring semesters unless all parties agree to an earlier
hearing. In the event the student is unable to contact the professor by the beginning of the third
week of the regular semester, the student should contact the department chairperson in order to set
up a meeting with the faculty member (or to meet with the department chairperson if the faculty
member is no longer on campus). The complete grade appeal process can be found at
wiu.edu/policies/gradeapp.php.

Graduate Committees–Departmental
Each department offering graduate work as a field of specialization has a graduate committee.
Shortly after the student has been admitted to the School of Graduate Studies, and prior to his or
her first registration, the student must consult the chair of his or her graduate committee or assigned
academic adviser. Graduate committees have general supervision over the work of their
department's degree students. Until the Departmental Graduate Committee assigns the student to an
adviser, the committee assumes responsibility for the student's general orientation and program of
study.

Incomplete Grade Policy
A temporary grade of “I” (incomplete) indicates that the student has been unable to complete
coursework due to circumstances beyond his or her control. A request for an incomplete grade at
the graduate level may be approved at the discretion of the faculty member. Students failing to
complete the required coursework within one year will receive a grade of “F.” Faculty members may
approve an extension of time for the incomplete. Some academic departments have automatically
extended their capstone/exit option courses (i.e. thesis, applied project, etc.) and students should
contact their academic adviser to identify courses with automatic extensions already in place.
Completion of a graduate degree will not be allowed with incomplete grades posted Fall 2006 or
after on the transcript.

Retaking Failed Courses
A student may repeat any credit course in which a failing grade (F) was received. Both the failing
grade and the grade earned by repeating the course will appear on the transcript and will be used to
calculate the student's grade point average.
Retaking Passed Courses

If a student decides that his/her mastery of a previously passed course will be improved by retaking the course, he or she may do so subject to the following conditions:

1. All grades received for each course retaken will appear separately on the transcript in addition to the original grade.
2. No honor points or credit toward graduation may be received for retaking a previously passed course.
3. Tuition and fees must be paid for all courses retaken.
4. The student must properly register to retake a course during registration or preregistration. Previously passed courses are those for which any of the following grades have been received: A, B, C, D, or S. If a course graded S is retaken, the second grade (assuming successful completion) will also be an S since only S and U grades can be given for such courses. For all other previously passed courses, the grade on the transcript will reflect what the student has achieved by taking the course a second time.

Notification to Students on Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974

Western Illinois University, in full compliance with the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974, shall make educational records available to students upon request. Likewise, in accordance with the law, individually identifiable educational records will not be released to anyone other than authorized individuals without written consent of the student. Students have the right to file complaints with the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) Office concerning alleged failures by the institution to comply with the Act.

The Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) affords students certain rights with respect to their education records. These rights include:

1. The right to inspect and review the student’s education records within 45 days of the day the University receives a request for access. Students should submit to the Registrar, dean, or other appropriate official, written requests that identify the record(s) they wish to inspect. The University official will make arrangements for access and notify the student of the time and place where the records may be inspected. If the records are not maintained by the University official to whom the request was submitted, that official shall advise the student of the correct official to whom the request should be addressed.

2. The right to request the amendment of the student’s education records that the student believes are inaccurate or misleading. Students may ask the University to amend a record that they believe is inaccurate or misleading. They should write the University official responsible for the record, clearly identify the part of the record they want changed, and specify why it is inaccurate or misleading. If the University decides not to amend the record as requested by the student, the University will notify the student of the decision and advise the student of his or her right to a hearing regarding the request for amendment. Additional information regarding the hearing procedures will be provided to the student when notified of the right to a hearing.

3. The right to consent to disclosures of personally identifiable information contained in the student’s education records, except to the extent that FERPA authorizes disclosure without consent. One exception which permits disclosure without consent is disclosure to school officials with
legitimate educational interests. A school official is a person employed by the University in an administrative, supervisory, academic or research, or support staff position (including law enforcement unit personnel and health staff); a person or company with whom the University has contracted (such as an attorney, auditor, collection agent, or other service provider such as the National Student Clearinghouse or Credentials, Inc.); a person serving on the Board of Trustees; or a student serving on an official committee, such as a disciplinary or grievance committee, or assisting another school official in performing his or her tasks. A school official has a legitimate educational interest if the official needs to review an education record in order to fulfill his or her professional responsibility. Upon request, the University may disclose education records without consent to another school in which a student seeks or intends to enroll.

4. The right to file a complaint with the U.S. Department of Education concerning alleged failures by the University to comply with the requirements by FERPA.

Western Illinois University considers the following categories to be directory information, and, as such, may release it to any or all inquirers in such forms as news releases, directories, or computer address lists: the student’s name; school and home address; WIU email address; telephone number; major field of study; dates of attendance; full- or part-time status; classification; degrees, honors and awards received (including Dean's List) and date granted; anticipated graduation date; most recent previous educational agency or institution attended; participation in officially recognized activities and sports; and, for members of athletic teams, weight and height.

Students who do not wish this information to be released may prevent such release by submitting an Exclusion of Directory Information Form to the Registrar. The request for exclusion of directory information will be honored indefinitely; however, it does not affect directory information already published or released. By requesting exclusion of directory information, students should be aware that all future requests for this information by anyone other than school officials with legitimate educational interests will be refused.

Source: http://www.wiu.edu/graduate_studies/catalog/academic_guidelines/
FACULTY MENTORING
&
GRADUATE EDUCATION
Faculty Mentoring of Graduate Students

Introduction

Students who enroll in our graduate program are encouraged to actively search for mentorship. A mentor is more than an adviser or a member of a committee. A mentor provides you with wisdom, technical knowledge, assistance, support, empathy and respect throughout your graduate career. Mentoring helps students understand how their goals fit into graduate education, department life, and career choices. Moreover, mentoring is an ongoing professional relationship that continues even after the student has left the institution.

The three categories of mentoring that students need include:

1. Guiding students through degree requirements:

   It is understood that the graduate advisor/director serves as the primary academic advisor for the degree program requirements, but all faculty members play an active role in helping graduate students understand the degree requirements and explain the theory and reasons for specific requirements.

   Mentors can discuss with students their performance in courses, examinations, internships, and offer guidance and support where appropriate or requested.

2. Guiding students through the thesis, non-thesis research, and internship process:

   Mentors can evaluate the graduate students’ strengths and weaknesses and offer constructive feedback about possible research topics and areas of study.

   Mentors can advise graduate students on the selection of topic for their thesis/non-thesis research and direct them to appropriate faculty with relevant specialties.

   Mentors can assist and guide in conjunction with or independent of the student’s thesis or non-thesis committee where solicited. [Note: Different opinions may arise between the student’s mentor and thesis chair; however, the student must weigh the cost and benefits of advice given.]

   Mentors can encourage graduate students to remain up-to-date on the scholarly research related to their chosen topic.

   Mentors can assist in finding scholarly sources to support research.

3. Guiding students through professional development:

   Mentors can guide and/or advise students' development as teachers and researchers. Mentors can encourage participation in professional meetings and departmental activities.
Mentors can help students develop professional skills in writing and professional presentations.

Mentors can inculcate an appreciation, aspiration, and respect for an overall professional attitude for faculty and peers.

**Points for Consideration for Mentors:**

The primary role of mentors to graduate students is to share knowledge and expertise within the discipline and to provide academic and/or emotional support. The development of a positive and professional rapport between faculty and graduate students is fundamental to their retention and long-term success.

- Just as a teacher has the authority to set the terms for a course, a mentor has the authority to set the terms for a mentoring relationship. That means that you can be clear with students what forms of mentoring you will provide (e.g. constructive feedback, networking assistance) and what forms you will not provide (e.g. friendship).
- No single mentor can provide all forms of mentoring a student requires. Encourage students to solicit multiple views that can assist in their decision making and professional development.
- Bear in mind that each student is different, so the kind of mentoring you offer a particular student might be different to the kind you offer to another student. Seek feedback from your student about your mentoring, so you can tailor your work with that student over time.
- It can be important to assess a student's work and discipline early in his/her career. This helps to provide difficult situations (e.g. underperforming students) later.
- When talking with an underperforming student, ask the student what s/he hopes to get out of the program. Reframe the situation by helping the student see the ways s/he is not meeting his or her own goals.
- Help the student understand the amount of time required on a weekly basis to make adequate progress. If the norm is that students in your program work 30 to 40 hours a week, for instance, communicate that to your student.

**Professionalism and Ethics**

High quality graduate education depends upon the professional and ethical conduct of the participants. Faculty and graduate students share complementary responsibilities in the maintenance of academic standards and the development of high quality graduate programs.

**Graduate Students**

To this end, it is essential that graduate students:

- conduct themselves in a mature, professional, and civil manner
- devote an appropriate amount of time and energy toward achieving academic excellence and earning an advanced degree in a timely fashion
• respect faculty members’ need to allocate their time and other resources in ways that are academically and personally productive
• take the initiative to communicate regularly with faculty and mentor, especially in matters related to research and progress within the graduate program
• work with diverse faculty and peers regardless of their race, gender, religion, sexual orientation, or national origin
• exercise the highest integrity in taking examinations, in collecting, analyzing, and presenting research data, and in teaching practice
• take primary responsibility to inform themselves about the specific regulations and policies governing their graduate studies at the Department and Graduate School levels
• recognize that, in many disciplines, the faculty and mentor provide the intellectual and instructional environment in which the student conducts research, and may, through access to teaching and research funds, also provide the student with financial support
• manage time effectively for maximum professional development as well as personal health and well-being, balancing competing demands such as being a student, a graduate assistant, a parent, a spouse, a caregiver, etc.
• recognize that the faculty and mentors are responsible for monitoring the accuracy, validity, and integrity of the student's research, so that careful, well-conceived research will reflect favorably on the student, the faculty and mentors, and the University
• acknowledge the contributions of the faculty, mentor and other members of the research team to the student's work in all publications and conference presentations
• maintain the confidentiality of the faculty, mentor, and fellow students' professional activities and research prior to presentation or publication in accordance with existing practices and policies of the discipline
• participate in discipline-based activities, such as seminars and conferences, as a component of professional development
• participate in activities organized by the graduate advisor, the graduate committee, and the department at large
• participate in university, departmental, or program governance as a component of professional development
• uphold the public service mission of the university at an appropriate level

Faculty

It is essential that faculty:

• act in a manner that best serves the education and professional development of students
• create an ethos of collegiality so that learning takes place within a community of scholars
• interact with students in a professional, civil, and collegial manner in accordance with University policies and relevant laws
• familiarize themselves with policies that affect their graduate students
• impartially evaluate student performance regardless of the student's religion, race, gender, sexual orientation, nationality, or other criteria that are not germane to academic evaluation
• promise a reasonable degree of confidentiality in communication with students, taking care not to discuss a student's performance, research results, or behavior with other students
• serve on graduate student committees without regard to the race, gender, sexual orientation, or national origin of the graduate student candidate
• prevent personal rivalries with colleagues from interfering with duties as graduate advisor, committee member, or colleague
• excuse themselves from serving on graduate committees when there is an amorous, familial, or other relationship between the faculty member and the student that could result in a conflict of interest
• discuss departmental authorship policy with graduate students in advance of entering into collaborative projects
• acknowledge student contributions to research presented at conferences, in professional publications, or in applications for copyrights and patents
• ensure that a student's experience as a teaching, research, or staff assistant contributes to his/her professional development and does not impede the student's progress toward the degree
• create in the classroom or laboratory supervisory relations with students that stimulate and encourage students to learn creatively and independently respect the academic freedom for students to express opinions that may differ from those of faculty
• attain with graduate students a clear understanding of their specific research responsibilities, including timelines for completion of research and the thesis or non-thesis
• refrain from requesting students to do tasks not closely related to their academic or professional development for the personal advantage of a faculty member
• provide opportunities within the graduate program for students to seek assistance for their grievances without threat of retaliation
• respect students' need to allocate their time among competing demands
• attend committee meetings and examinations, and participate with full attention in these activities
• take reasonable measures to ensure that each graduate student initiates thesis or non-thesis research in a timely fashion
• continue to be an advisor and colleague after student graduates

Summary
An effective mentoring relationship develops over time. The student benefits from the mentor's support, skills, wisdom and coaching. Later, both people deepen their working relationship, perhaps collaborating on projects in which the student develops into a junior colleague. Both mentee and mentor may redefine their relationship as one of equals, characterized over time by informal contact and mutual assistance, thus becoming true professional colleagues.

Sources
https://cft.vanderbilt.edu/guides-sub-pages/mentoring-graduate-students/
https://grad.washington.edu/for-students-and-post-docs/core-programs/mentoring/
GRADUATE ASSISTANTSHIPS:
TEACHING SUPPORT ASSISTANTS
RESEARCH ASSISTANTS
TEACHING ASSISTANTS
Graduate assistantships are academic merit-based awards that recognize outstanding achievement and provide financial support to students as they pursue their degrees. Both the university and the student benefit from the assistantship. The student receives professional experience and financial support, while the university gains a student assistant dedicated to advancing its mission.

Graduate assistants are expected to balance the challenges presented by both the assistantship duties and the requirements of the graduate degree. As such, a student must demonstrate satisfactory progress toward the completion of scholarly tasks as well as assignments in the workplace. All graduate students are encouraged to consult with assistantship supervisors and departmental graduate advisors throughout this process.

Students with full-time assistantships are required to work up to 20 hours per week or teach up to 6 semester hours per semester, and will receive a monthly stipend and waiver of tuition. Current stipend amounts, policies, procedures, and additional information concerning the assistantship program may be found online at http://www.wiu.edu/graduate_studies/. Assistantship applications received prior to March 15 may be given priority consideration.

**Categories of Assistantships**

1. **Teaching Assistant (TA)** - A Teaching Assistant is the instructor of record for a class or laboratory, within a specific academic department of the University. Teaching Assistants’ duties consist of one or more of the following types of activities:

   - conducting classes or laboratories,
   - preparing lectures,
   - constructing and grading tests/quizzes,
   - holding student conferences,
   - assigning course/laboratory grades,
   - other duties related to the assigned course/laboratory.

2. **Teaching Support Assistant (TSA)** - A Teaching Support Assistant provides assistance/support to an academic course instructor. Teaching Support Assistants’ primary (i.e., greater than 50%) duties consist of one or more of the following types of activities:

   - taking attendance,
   - proctoring exams/quizzes,
   - grading,
   - developing academic instructional materials,
   - tutoring,
   - facilitating review/study sessions,
   - other instructional support services.
3. Research Assistant (RA) - A Research Assistant is assigned to faculty members to assist with their research. Research Assistants’ primary duties (i.e., greater than 50%) consist of one or more of the following types of activities:

- conducting experiments,
- collecting or analyzing data,
- collaborating in preparing publications,
- library research,
- other research activities

4. Graduate Assistant (GA) - A Graduate Assistant supports the administrative and operational functions of the University or approved external agencies. Graduate Assistants’ primary duties (i.e., greater than 50%) consist of one or more of the following types of activities:

- technical support services (i.e., web, equipment management, etc.),
- advising students,
- clerical support,
- recruiting students,
- event development/management,
- other support services.

Application Process

To apply for an assistantship, an Application for Assistantship (available from the School of Graduate Studies or at http://www.wiu.edu/graduate_studies/) must be submitted along with three letters of recommendation (some programs require specialized recommendation letters) and a statement of personal goals. The application, letters, and statement must be submitted to the School of Graduate Studies before an assistantship contract may be written.

Tuition Waiver Benefit

Graduate assistants receive a waiver of tuition (upon receipt of a signed contract) for the period of appointment plus a maximum of one summer session adjacent to (preceding or following) the employment period. If eligible, the summer tuition waiver is automatically applied for the summer following the end of the contract period; students must notify the Graduate School at the time of signing a fall contract if they choose to use it the preceding summer. The waiver does not include insurance costs or student fees. Tuition waivers may be revoked if the assistant does not fulfill at least two months of the regular contract.
Stipend Amounts - Effective July 1, 2021
http://www.wiu.edu/graduate_studies/prospective_students/stipend.php

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Full time</th>
<th>2/3 time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Graduate Assistant (GA)</td>
<td>$979.25/month</td>
<td>$653.25/month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Assistant (RA)</td>
<td>$979.25/month</td>
<td>$653.25/month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teaching Support Assistant (TSA)</td>
<td>$979.25/month</td>
<td>$653.25/month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teaching Assistant (TA)</td>
<td>$1086/month</td>
<td>$724/month</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Base salary for GA, RA and TSA = $7,834 per academic year.
Base salary for TA = $8,688 per academic year.
An academic year = 8 months.

FAQs - Taxation on Graduate Assistant Tuition Waivers
See: http://www.wiu.edu/graduate_studies/prospective_students/gainfo.php

Who will be impacted by the taxation of tuition waivers?
Those individuals who are classified as graduate assistants. Research and teaching assistants are exempt from this taxation. Refer to your assistantship contract to determine your classification.

Why are graduate assistants being taxed on their tuition waivers?
This is required by federal law. Under Internal Revenue Service regulation (IRC 127), tuition waivers awarded to graduate assistants are to be considered taxable income once the total tuition waiver amount exceeds $5,250. This means that graduate assistants who receive more than $5,250 in tuition waivers in a calendar year must be taxed on the amount exceeding the allowable amount.

How is the withholding amount determined?
The value of the tuition waiver exceeding $5,250 is subject to withholding tax based on the completed W-4 on file with the Payroll Office. As such, the amount withheld may differ among graduate assistants. Questions should be directed to the Payroll Office, (309)298-1867.

The tuition waiver does not come in the form of a payment to me. How will the withholding be made?
When the value of the tuition waiver exceeds $5,250 in a calendar year, the excess is includable as taxable income as non-cash earnings. This means you will not see a pay increase in your check, but rather the excess waiver amount is added to your regular earnings. When non-cash earnings are added to your assistantship stipend, the University must calculate and withhold the additional tax due.
The withholding will be spread over a few months in the regular semester-long contracts to minimize the impact of the deduction. If the $5,250 tuition waiver amount is exceeded in the spring semester, withholdings will be taken from the March, April and May paychecks. If the $5,250 tuition waiver amount is exceeded in the fall semester, withholdings will be taken from October, November, and December paychecks.
**What happens to the taxes that are withheld?**
Both the amount of taxable income associated with the waiver (amount exceeding $5,250 in the calendar year) and the taxes that the University withholds with respect to such income are reported on Form W-2. The taxes that the University withholds are forwarded to the IRS and credited to your tax liability for the year.

**Is it possible that the tax withholding will result in my take home pay in those pay periods to be significantly reduced or reduced to zero?**
Yes. Your tax liability may result in a zero paycheck depending on the value of your waivers and the assistantship stipend you receive for the services you perform for the University.

**Who do I contact if I have questions concerning the taxation of graduate assistant tuition waivers?**
General questions may be directed to the School of Graduate Studies at (309) 298-1806. Specific questions concerning withholdings may be directed to the Payroll Office at (309) 298-1867.

---

**Sources**

http://www.wiu.edu/graduate_studies/prospective_students/gainfo.php

http://www.wiu.edu/graduate_studies/prospective_students/stipend.php

http://www.wiu.edu/graduate_studies/current_students/tax_questions.php
CRITERIA

Graduate assistantships are awarded on strong, merit-based, academic performance. All awards are based on an open, competitive process. All files must be complete and submitted by the specified deadline. Incomplete applications and those not submitted by the posted deadline will not be reviewed. Submitted materials will be reviewed by the Graduate Committee.

To be considered for a Graduate Assistantship, students should:

01. Have unconditional admittance in the Graduate Program in Sociology.

02. File an application for a Graduate Assistantship with Graduate Studies.

03. Submit a current personal narrative statement fully addressing why you think you should be considered for an Assistantship based on your academic achievement and/or potential (2-3 pages). You must also address the skills-set(s) that you bring to the position.

04. Submit three letters of recommendation addressing the your abilities including academic and personal maturity, relevant skill-set(s), responsibility, attitude, and potential as a professional.

05. (New applicants/students) Have a minimum Undergraduate GPA of 3.00.

06. (Continuing students) Not have more than one (1) Incomplete. If a student has an Incomplete, an explanation must be provided as well as a projected completion date. It is recognized that those completing an exit option may have an Incomplete (e.g., 600 or 601) and will be considered.

07. (Continuing students) Effective past performance of duties, based on past assistantship evaluations.

Assistantships are awarded yearly. Students MUST reapply and resubmit all required supporting materials following posted guidelines.

All Assistantships are subject to funding availability.
RESPONSIBILITIES

1. FUNDAMENTAL EXPECTATIONS

- YOU ARE REQUIRED TO CONDUCT YOURSELF IN A PROFESSIONAL MANNER CONSISTENT WITH UNIVERSITY AND DEPARTMENTAL STANDARDS AT ALL TIME. YOUR BEHAVIOUR IS A REFLECTION OF THE DEPARTMENT.
- Graduate Assistants typically perform some or all of the following duties: proctor and grade exams, tutor students, maintaining attendance records, leading discussion/review sessions, running errands, completing library research, as well as other general assistance related to teaching and research. (See current Graduate Studies guidelines: http://www.wiu.edu/graduate_studies/prospective_students/gainfo.php).
  - Many of the activities you will engage in are governed in some way by the Family Educational Rights Privacy Act (FERPA) which guarantees students complete confidentiality and privacy regarding their grades. Accordingly, you are required to maintain complete confidentiality regarding student grades, discussing them only with the course instructor.
- Graduate Assistants will receive assignments prior to the first week of each semester. You are required to begin working during the first week of the semester, but you are not expected to work during University holidays or during finals week unless you are making up for missed hours during the rest of the semester.
- Graduate assistants may be assigned to two or three faculty members for a period of 3-6 hours each. In the event that one faculty member does not utilize his or her ‘time allotment’ in a given week, graduate assistants should use that time (up to their full 13 or 20 hours) to assist other assigned faculty members or to complete “intermittent” tasks (see below).
- In addition to being assigned to at least one faculty member, you are expected to complete tasks for other faculty members in the department on an intermittent basis. In addition, faculty members may need periodic assistance with proctoring exams, running errands, etc. Faculty members may also call or stop by the graduate office to request assistance during grad office hours.
- During the 12th and 13th weeks of each semester, all departmental graduate assistants will assist in the administration of course evaluations for the department.
- Periodic mandatory graduate assistant meetings will be scheduled during the semester. Graduate assistants MUST attend all meetings.

2. CONTACTING ASSIGNED FACULTY

- You are required to contact your assigned faculty member(s) within the first three days of the semester to discuss general requirements and to establish weekly meetings. This is MANDATORY.
- You are REQUIRED to meet with each assigned faculty member at least once each week to receive new assignments, turn in work, consult, etc. Your faculty members may want to meet more than once per week.
- It is your job to follow up with faculty members and to see if they need your assistance. It is NOT the faculty member’s responsibility to track you down when they need work completed.
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• DO NOT RELY on email as a way to stay in touch with your assigned faculty member(s) unless otherwise instructed to do so by that faculty member. Take the initiative in maintaining on-going communication with your assigned faculty member(s).

3. OFFICE HOURS

• Each graduate assistant is usually assigned to 4-6 office hours per week, based on their other faculty assignments. Both faculty and students rely on this office being staffed M-F 10:00am-2:00pm. Hence, you are REQUIRED TO HOLD OFFICE HOURS.

• The primary purpose of your office hours is to tutor undergraduate students enrolled in sociology and anthropology courses. In addition, this time should be used for test preparation, paper writing, etc. and for assisting faculty on an intermittent basis (running short errands, proctoring makeup exams, etc.). You may also be required to occasionally show a film or proctor an exam during your office hours for faculty, who are not assigned a regular GA or who need additional assistance. Do comply with the request for assistance.

• Graduate assistants may use this time to work on their own coursework IF no students or faculty need assistance. You may also work on regular work as required by assigned faculty members as long as it can be done IN THE GRADUATE STUDENT OFFICE.

• Do not use your office hour time to do library research (unless you do so online from the grad office), run lengthy errands, etc. for assigned faculty members. These duties should be taken care of outside of your regular office hours so that you are IN THE GRADUATE ASSISTANT OFFICE IF YOU ARE NEEDED ON SHORT NOTICE.

• In general, if you are ill or unable to make your office hours for any reason, please contact Ms. Connie Kreps, Department secretary (309-298-1056) AND the Graduate Director immediately. You are expected and required to “make up” missed hours and should notify the Graduate Director about these arrangements.

• The Graduate Office is a professional area. Please refrain from boisterous conversation, sleeping, criticizing other students or faculty, etc. Maintain the office space so that it is a healthy, sanitary, orderly physical environment. If you have any questions, please feel free to ask.

4. ADDITIONAL EMPLOYMENT

• Periodically, Graduate Assistants hold multiple jobs. This is counter to the University’s policy, which prohibits graduate assistants from holding second jobs without approval.

• You should remember that your GA position MUST receive priority if you hold secondary employment. Failure to complete assigned duties because of additional employment is grounds for dismissal.

• A failure to grade exams in a timely manner because of other work requirements or the inability to proctor an exam for a faculty member during a time when you previously stated you were available because of a “shift change” are both examples of secondary employment interfering with your GA duties and can lead to disciplinary action up to and including dismissal of your GA contract at any point during the period of contract.

• The bottom line is this: if you have outside employment, you are REQUIRED to disclose this to the Graduate Committee Chair, who may then advise you of potential outcomes.

• Most importantly, regarding the expectations of graduate assistants, relationships to assigned faculty, the holding of office hours, and the consideration of outside
employment simply remain conscientious of your unique position in the Department and act the part of the professional in the performance of job-related duties.

5. GRADUATE COMMITTEE CHAIR

- The Graduate Committee Chairperson (also known as the Graduate Director) acts as your immediate supervisor. Faculty members typically bring concerns to the Graduate Committee Chair in addition to discussing them with the progress and contribution of the graduate student. These discussions are taken with the utmost seriousness and have an effect on the resolution of GA-related issues.

- Students should contact the Graduate Committee Chair if they:

  Are being repeatedly required to work more than their maximum hours. The rule of thumb is to know your contracted hours.

  Are having difficulty completing tasks.

  Are having conflicts with assigned faculty member(s).

  Are unable to work on a scheduled date (for office hours or faculty assistance).

  Have any questions or concerns about their assistantship responsibilities.

6. PERFORMANCE EVALUATION

- Each Graduate Assistant will meet with the Graduate Committee Chair during the final two weeks of the semester to review their performance. Your evaluation will be based on comments compiled from assigned faculty members as well as a general assessment from the Graduate Committee Chair.

7. APPOINTMENTS AND REAPPOINTMENTS

- All appointments and reappointments are contingent upon University and Departmental funding and satisfactory performance as determined through the Performance Evaluation Review. Appointments may be terminated at any point during the course of the semester based on unsatisfactory performance or dereliction of duties.

- Professionalism and academic merit are required for continued consideration of Graduate Assistantships.
EVALUATION OF THESIS, NON-THESIS, AND INTERNSHIP PAPERS AND PRESENTATIONS
Assessment Instrument A: Written Paper

Evaluation: _____Thesis _____Non-Thesis _____Internship

Semester & Year:______________

Candidate's Name: ________________________________

Evaluator's Name: ________________________________

Evaluator's Signature: ________________________________ Date _____________

**Key: 1 = Needs Improvement  2 = Meets Expectations  3 = Exceeds Expectations**

Demonstrates the ability to select a sociologically appropriate research question and illustrate the significance of that question. 1

Comments:

Demonstrates an in-depth understanding of the issues and debates in the literature and the theories relevant to his/her selected question(s). 1

Comments:

Demonstrates the ability to synthesize the relevant previous research in a logical manner to present hypotheses and/or findings. 1

Comments:

Demonstrates a clear understanding of the methods, limitations, and processes involved in their selected research design and/or relevant literature. 1

Comments:

If appropriate, demonstrates the ability to present data analyses, limitations, and derive appropriate conclusions from these analyses. 1

Comments:

Demonstrates the ability to communicate effectively in written form. 1

Comments:

Overall evaluation: 1


Assessment Instrument B: Oral Presentation

Evaluation: ______Thesis ______Non-Thesis ______Internship

Semester & Year: ______________

Candidate’s Name: ________________________________

Evaluator’s Name: ________________________________

Evaluator’s Signature: _____________________________ Date _____________

Key: 1 = Needs Improvement  2 = Meets Expectations  3 = Exceeds Expectations

Demonstrates the ability to select a sociologically appropriate research question and illustrate the significance of that question.

1

Comments:

Demonstrates an in-depth understanding of the issues and debates in the literature and the theories relevant to his/her selected question(s).

1

Comments:

Demonstrates the ability to synthesize the relevant previous research in a logical manner to present hypotheses and/or findings.

1

Comments:

Demonstrates a clear understanding of the methods, limitations, and processes involved in their selected research design and/or relevant literature.

1

Comments:

If appropriate, demonstrates the ability to present data analyses, limitations, and derive appropriate conclusions from these analyses.

1

Comments:

Demonstrates the ability to communicate effectively in oral form.

1

Comments:

Overall evaluation:

1
ACADEMIC INTEGRITY POLICY

For the most updated document, see: HTTP://WWW.WIU.EDU/POLICIES/ACINTEGRITY.PHP
Student Academic Integrity Policy

Preamble
Western Illinois University, like all communities, functions best when its members treat one another with honesty, fairness, respect, and trust. Students must realize that deception for individual gain is an offense against the members of the entire community, and it is the student's responsibility to be informed and to abide by all University regulations and policies, including the Student Academic Integrity Policy (detailed below).

Plagiarism, cheating, and other forms of academic dishonesty constitute a serious violation of University conduct regulations (See Section I).

Faculty members are expected to exemplify academic integrity and to instill in their students a desire to behave honestly. Faculty members shall clearly state their policies regarding academic integrity in their syllabi and reinforce principles of academic integrity in their teaching. Clearly stating expectations (including standards unique to a particular academic discipline) and potential penalties for violations of academic integrity makes consequences transparent to the student.

When faculty adhere to this policy and report confirmed acts of academic dishonesty they protect both the reputation of the University and the rights of the student. Below is a brief summary of the procedures for and value of reporting acts of academic dishonesty.

- When a student is suspected of committing an act of academic dishonesty, the faculty member is expected to conduct an investigation (Section III.A).
- If the investigation determines that academic dishonesty occurred, the faculty member is expected to submit an Academic Integrity Incident Report to the Department Chair and to the Council on Admissions, Graduation, and Academic Standards (CAGAS) for undergraduate students or the Graduate Council for graduate students (Section III.B).
- The faculty member has the exclusive prerogative for assigning any penalty pertaining to the act of academic dishonesty relative to the course (Section III.C.1). Any administrative sanctions (if applicable) are under the authority of the Director of the Student Conduct Office.
- If a student engages in a pattern of academic dishonesty across multiple courses appropriate administrative sanctions may be imposed under the Director of the Student Conduct Office (Section III.C.2).
- If the student feels that the determination of academic dishonesty is incorrect, the student has the right to appeal (Section III.B.5 and Section IV).

This document provides policies and procedures to be followed when academic dishonesty is encountered.

Section I. Definitions of Academic Dishonesty
The following definitions and examples are not meant to be exhaustive. The University reserves the right to determine, in a given instance, what action constitutes a violation of academic integrity.
1. **Plagiarism**
   Plagiarism is presenting the work of another as one's own. Plagiarism occurs whenever:
   1. one quotes another person's actual words or replicates all or part of another's product without acknowledgment. This includes all information gleaned from any source, including the Internet.
   2. one uses another person's ideas, opinions, work, data, or theories, even if they are completely paraphrased in one's own words without acknowledgment.
   3. one uses facts, statistics, or other illustrative materials without acknowledgment.
   4. one fails to acknowledge with a citation any close and/or extended paraphrasing of another.
   5. one fails to use quotation marks when quoting directly from another, whether it is a few words, a sentence, or a paragraph.

2. Typical examples: Submitting, as one's own, the work of another writer or commercial writing service; knowingly buying or otherwise acquiring and submitting, as one's own work, any research paper or other writing assignment; submitting, as one's own, work in which portions were produced by someone acting as tutor or editor; collaborating with others on papers or projects without authorization of the instructor.
   In addition to oral or written work, plagiarism may also involve using, without permission and/or acknowledgment, computer programs or files, research designs, ideas and images, charts and graphs, photographs, creative works, assignments and other types of information that belong to another.
   Because expectations about academic assignments and citation vary among disciplines and instructors, instructors should clearly state their expectations. Students should seek clarification from the instructor when in doubt.

3. **Fabrication and Falsification**
   Fabrication or falsification is intentionally and knowingly making unauthorized alterations to information, or inventing information or citations. Fabrication (inventing or counterfeiting information) and/or falsification (altering information) occur whenever:
   1. one alters or falsifies a graded work after it has been evaluated by the instructor and resubmits it for re-grading.
   2. one invents data in a piece of work or provides a false account of the method by which data were generated or collected.
   3. one misrepresents by fabricating an otherwise justifiable excuse such as illness, injury, accident, etc., in order to avoid timely submission of academic work or to avoid or delay the taking of a test or examination.

4. Typical Examples:
   Fabrication--inventing or counterfeiting data, research results, information, or procedures; inventing data or fabricating research procedures to make it appear that the results of one process are actually the results of several processes; counterfeiting a record of internship or practicum experiences.
   Falsification--altering the record of data or experimental procedures or results; false citation of the source of information (e.g., reproducing a quotation from a book review while indicating that the quotation was obtained from the book itself); altering the record of or reporting false information about practicum or clinical experiences; altering grade reports or other academic records; submitting a false excuse for absence or tardiness in a scheduled academic exercise; altering a returned examination paper and seeking regrading.

5. **Cheating**
   Cheating is intentionally using or attempting to use unauthorized materials, information,
notes, study aids, solution manuals, or other devices in any academic exercise, test, or quiz. This includes unauthorized communication of information during an exercise. Cheating includes:

1. possessing unauthorized notes, crib sheets, additional sources of information, or other materials during an examination.
2. preparing a written answer to an exam question outside of class and submitting that answer as part of an in-class exam.
3. possessing term papers, examinations, lab reports, or other assignments which were supposed to be returned to the instructor.
4. altering test answers and then claiming the instructor improperly graded the test or examination.
5. giving or receiving answers by use of any signals or technology during a test.
6. Typical Examples: Copying from another student's paper or receiving unauthorized assistance during a quiz, test or examination; using books, notes, or other devices (e.g., calculators) when these are not authorized; procuring without authorization tests or examinations before the scheduled exercise (including discussion of the substance of examinations and tests when it is expected these will not be discussed); copying reports, laboratory work, computer programs or files and the like from other students; collaborating with others on laboratory or computer work without authorization or without indicating the nature and extent of the collaboration; sending a substitute to take an examination.

7. Complicity in Academic Dishonesty
   Complicity in academic dishonesty is intentionally or knowingly helping, or attempting to help, another individual commit an act of academic dishonesty. Complicity includes:
   1. permitting another student to copy one's work during an examination or allowing another student to copy one's paper, lab report, computer program, or other assignments.
   2. taking an examination or any portion of a course for another student; writing a paper, lab report, computer program, or other assignments for another student.

8. Typical Examples: Knowingly allowing another to copy from one's paper during an examination or test; knowingly and without authorization distributing test questions or substantive information about the material to be tested before the scheduled exercise; collaborating on academic work knowing that the collaboration will not be reported; taking an examination or test for another student, or signing a false name on an academic exercise. (Note: Collaboration and sharing information are characteristics of academic communities. These become a violation when they involve dishonesty. Instructors should make expectations about collaborations clear to students. Students should seek clarification when in doubt).

9. Abuse of Academic Materials
   Abuse of academic materials is intentionally or knowingly destroying, stealing, or making inaccessible library or other resource material.
   Typical Examples: Stealing or destroying library or reference materials needed for common academic exercises; hiding resource materials so others may not use them; destroying computer programs or files needed in academic work; stealing or intentionally destroying another student's notes or laboratory experiments; receiving assistance in locating or using sources of information in an assignment where such assistance has been forbidden by the instructor. (Note: The offense of abuse of academic materials shall be dealt with under this policy only when the abuse violates standards of integrity in academic matters, usually in a course or experience for which academic credit is awarded).
10. Multiple Submissions
Multiple submissions occurs when one intentionally or knowingly submits substantial portions of the same academic work (including oral reports) for credit more than once without the explicit authorization of both instructors.
Typical Examples: Submitting the same or substantially the same work for credit in more than one course without prior permission of both instructors. Building upon or reworking prior work is acceptable with permission of both instructors.

Section II. Reporting Academic Dishonesty
All members of the University community share the responsibility and authority to challenge and make known acts of apparent academic dishonesty. Any student, faculty member, or staff person who has witnessed an apparent act of student academic dishonesty, or has information that reasonably leads to the conclusion that such an act has occurred or has been attempted, has an ethical responsibility for reporting said act(s).
Confronting and reporting academic dishonesty can be done in a variety of ways, and people should choose the manner most appropriate for the circumstances. Acts of apparent academic dishonesty that occur in the classroom should be reported directly to the course instructor, and/or the course instructor’s Department Chair, and/or the instructor’s College Dean. CAGAS or the Graduate Council will not accept or act upon anonymous reports, but will hold in strict confidence the identity of any person reporting a suspected instance of academic dishonesty, unless that person consents to having his/her identity revealed.

Section III. Investigation, Determination of Violation, and Assignment of Penalty
1. Investigation of alleged act of academic dishonesty
   1. A faculty member responsible for assigning final grades in a course may acquire evidence, either directly or through information supplied by others that a violation of academic integrity may have occurred. After collecting the available evidence, the faculty member must meet with each student suspected of academic dishonesty to present the evidence of a violation and request an explanation. If the faculty member accepts the student’s explanation and finds that no violation has occurred, no further action is taken. (If a face-to-face meeting is impossible or impractical, this meeting may take place by phone or by e-mail communication.)

2. Determination of violation of academic integrity
   1. If the faculty member determines that a violation has occurred, the faculty member must complete an Academic Integrity Incident Report. (To expedite the process the faculty member may prepare a preliminary report in advance of meeting with the student and finalize it during the meeting). Students must be given a copy of the report and any supplementary materials within five (5) working days of the initial meeting. The report must contain:
      1. the nature of the charge
      2. brief summaries of the evidence and the meeting with the student
      3. the proposed academic penalty
      4. notice of the procedure and time frame for appealing the decision

2. The report must be signed and dated by both the student and the faculty member. The student’s signature is not an admission of guilt, but an acknowledgement that he or she has been notified of the charge. If the student refuses to sign, the faculty member shall write “refused to sign” on the form. The Department Chair shall also sign the form. The faculty member will send copies of the report and all
supplementary material, including copies of the evidence of academic dishonesty, to the Department Chair and (for record-keeping purposes) to the Council on Admission, Graduation and Academic Standards (if the case involves an undergraduate student) or to the Graduate Council (if the case involves a graduate student.) The faculty member should retain original copies of all evidence.

3. Once a faculty member has charged a student with academic dishonesty, the student may not withdraw from the course until the charge is resolved. Any student who withdraws from a course after the charge is made may be reregistered by the Registrar for the course so that appropriate action can be taken.

4. An incomplete will be given to the student(s) by the instructor in the event that a charge of academic dishonesty cannot be resolved before the submission of the final course grade(s). If it is not possible for the faculty member and student to meet prior to the submission of final grades, the faculty member shall advise the Department Chair and the Chair of CAGAS or the Graduate Council (as appropriate) of the pending case and submit a provisional version of the Academic Integrity Incident Report along with any supporting materials. The Chair of CAGAS or the Graduate Council (as appropriate) shall notify the student of the incomplete grade and inform the student of his or her responsibility to meet with the faculty member before the end of the second week of the next fall or spring semester to discuss the allegations of academic dishonesty. If the student does not meet with the faculty member by the end of the second week of the next fall or spring semester it is assumed that the student is not appealing the decision of the faculty member, and the faculty member shall submit a Change of Grade Form to remove the incomplete and assign the appropriate grade for the course. If a course grade of “F” is assigned as a penalty for the academic dishonesty, this must be indicated on the Change of Grade Form. A student assigned a course grade of “F” as the penalty is eligible to retake the course, but not for grade replacement.

5. If the student wishes to appeal the faculty member's charge of academic dishonesty, he or she must file a written appeal with the course instructor's Department Chair within five (5) working days following receipt of the Academic Integrity Incident Report. In cases where a student does not file a written appeal with the department chairperson, then the faculty member will assign the penalty specified on the Academic Integrity Incident Report. If the deadline to withdraw from the course has not passed, and if the specified penalty is not a grade of “F” for the course, then the student's option to withdraw from the course is reinstated.

3. Assignment of Penalty

1. Penalties assessed for student violations of academic integrity are the sole discretion of the faculty member responsible for the course and must be course related (with the exception of a referral to the Student Conduct Office). Representative penalties include the following (this list is not intended to be exhaustive):
   1. a revision of the work in question and/or completion of alternative work, with or without a grade reduction;
   2. a reduced grade (including "F" or zero) for the assignment;
   3. a reduced grade (including "F") for the entire course;
   4. referral to the Student Conduct Office.

2. A second violation of this policy will automatically result in formal judicial charges being brought against the student.

4. Assignment of Penalty for Academic Integrity Violations in Graduate Exit Options
1. An offense committed in completing an exit option of a graduate program is particularly egregious. The penalty for the violation may include any of the options described in III.C.1. above, as recommended by the professor directing the exit option (when appropriate), in conjunction with the department/program’s graduate committee and supported by the Department Chairperson/Director. In addition the penalty also may include dismissal from the degree program, an action that prohibits the student from completing program requirements for graduation.

2. The use of dismissal from a program to address a violation of academic integrity for an exit option is at the discretion of the professor directing the exit option (when appropriate), the Department/Program Graduate Committee, and the Department Chairperson/Director; these individuals will function as the Department Academic Integrity Committee. However, a student who has been removed from a degree program may appeal to a special ad hoc College Academic Integrity Committee, selected from eligible College faculty who have full membership in the graduate faculty. If the decision of the special ad hoc College Academic Integrity Committee is unsatisfactory to either the graduate student or the faculty member, that person will have the right to appeal to the Graduate Council. All committees follow the procedures established below.

Section IV. Procedures for Appeals

1. Constitution and Scope of Academic Integrity Committees:
   Each academic department and college within the University will establish an Academic Integrity Committee (AIC) in accordance with the procedures outlined below. The sole responsibility of an AIC is to determine whether there has been a violation of the Academic Integrity Policy. If the AIC determines there was a violation of the policy they may not waive or alter any penalty assigned by the faculty member (Section III.C).

   Faculty members and students should be aware of potential conflicts of interest and excuse themselves from service. If the impartiality of a committee member is questioned, the committee itself must reach a decision as to the continuance of the individual so questioned. If a member is disqualified, another individual from the same constituency will be appointed to serve in his or her place. Department Chairs and administrators (assistant dean, associate dean, dean, etc.) of any college will not serve on any AIC.

   a. Department Academic Integrity Committee
      Each department will establish a standing AIC comprised of an odd number of members. If the AIC consists of the minimum of three members, then one member must be a student who was not in the course from which the allegation originates and is not currently a student in any course taught by the faculty member bringing the allegation. (If the case involves an undergraduate student, then the student representative must be an undergraduate student. If the case involves a graduate student, then the student representative must be a graduate student.) If the committee consists of more than three faculty members, then the committee must have two student members that were not in the course from which the allegation originates and are not currently in any course taught by the faculty member bringing the charge. Department Chairs will notify each faculty member on the AIC of the first meeting at the beginning of the semester, before an Academic Integrity report is filed. At this meeting, the chairperson will be elected by the members of said committee.
2. College Academic Integrity Committee
The College AIC will consist of five persons: two voting students selected according to the criteria for Department Committees and three voting faculty members appointed by the Dean from the chairpersons of Department AICs (or their designees) within the College but not from the academic department from which the Academic Integrity case originated. The chair will be appointed by the Dean of the College.

3. University Academic Integrity Committee
CAGAS will serve as the AIC for undergraduate students at the University level. The Graduate Council will serve as the AIC for graduate students at the University level.

2. Procedures for All Committees
1. Within five (5) working days following the receipt of a student's written request to appeal a charge of academic dishonesty, the appropriate AIC will arrange a hearing. Academic Integrity hearings are normally heard during the Fall/Spring semester unless all parties agree to an earlier hearing. At least five (5) working days in advance of any hearing, the Chairperson of the AIC will notify the student and the faculty member involved of the time and place of the hearing, the specification(s) of the complaint (including any written documentation that was provided by the student or faculty member), and the right of each individual to be accompanied by an advisor. Reasonable efforts will be made to accommodate the class schedules of students and faculty members when setting committee meetings and hearings. If either the student, the faculty member, or their witnesses are unable to attend the hearing in person, they may participate in the hearing by telephone or video conference. In the event that the faculty member is on medical leave, sabbatical leave, retired or is no longer employed by WIU and is unable to participate in the hearing, the faculty member's perspective may be represented by another faculty member assigned by the department chair.

2. One advisor for the student and one advisor for the faculty member may be present when evidence is presented to the AIC. Advisors are not allowed to ask questions or present material and cannot serve as witnesses. The student and the faculty member may submit written materials to support his or her position. Either party may have witnesses testify in writing or in person. All committee hearings will be confidential. Witnesses will be excluded except for the period of their questioning. All participants will conduct themselves in a professional and collegial manner. Anyone failing to comply with this requirement can be excluded for the remainder of the hearing.

3. Agreement or disagreement with the charge of academic dishonesty shall be determined by majority vote of those present on a secret ballot. A written report of the proceedings will be prepared by the Chairperson of the AIC and submitted to the members for their approval. This report should include the basis for the charge, conclusions reached by the committee, and a report of the voting which reflects the majority and minority points of view.

3. Department Level Hearing
1. The hearing at the department level will be completed within twenty (20) working days after the receipt of the student's request to appeal. Within five (5) working days after the departmental hearing, the Chairperson of the AIC must inform the faculty member, student, chair of the department, and (for record-keeping purposes) either CAGAS (if the case involves an undergraduate student) or the Graduate Council (if the case involves a graduate student) of the decision in writing. If the AIC finds that
a violation occurred, the student should be informed of the procedure and timeline to appeal the decision to the College level (Section IV.D) and that if the student chooses not to appeal, the penalty imposed by the faculty member will stand.

2. If the AIC finds that no violation has occurred, the faculty member must inform the Chairperson of the AIC in writing as to whether or not he or she will appeal the decision within five (5) working days. The Chairperson of the AIC must then inform the student and the chair of the department in writing of the faculty member's decision within five (5) working days. If the faculty member fails to reply within the specified time limit, it will be assumed that he or she has decided not to pursue a charge of academic dishonesty. If the faculty member does not appeal the decision, or if he or she fails to reply within the specified time limit, the allegations will be dismissed and the faculty member is responsible for assigning the appropriate grade without penalty.

3. In cases where the faculty member declines to participate in the proceedings and the Departmental AIC makes a decision in favor of the student, the allegations will be dismissed. The Chairperson of the AIC will then submit a change of grade form listing the appropriate grade and indicate that the change is due to an Academic Integrity appeal.

4. College Level Hearing

1. If the result of the decision of the Departmental AIC is unsatisfactory to either the student or the faculty member, that person will have the right to appeal to the Dean of the College in which the department involved is located. The written appeal must be filed with the Dean's Office within fifteen (15) working days after all parties have been notified of the Department AIC's findings. The Chairperson of the Department AIC will forward the committee's report, and all written material considered by the committee, to the Dean's Office upon being notified by the Dean that there will be an appeal at the College level. This material will also be sent to both the faculty member and student involved with the Academic Integrity hearing.

2. A hearing will be held within ten (10) working days after receiving the appeal using the same procedures provided for at the department level. The College AIC will also include in its deliberations the written report of the Departmental AIC and any other written materials forwarded to the Dean from the Chairperson of the AIC.

3. The College AIC shall notify all parties of their decision following the same timeline and procedures as described for the Department Committee.

5. University Level Hearing--Undergraduate Student

1. If the decision of the College AIC is unsatisfactory to either the undergraduate student or the faculty member, that person will have the right to appeal to CAGAS. The written appeal must be filed with the Chairperson of CAGAS within fifteen (15) working days after all parties have been notified of the College AIC's findings. The Chairperson of the College AIC will forward all reports and written materials that had been forwarded to the College AIC to the Chairperson of CAGAS upon being notified that there will be an appeal.

2. In preparation for the hearing, the Chairperson of CAGAS shall review the case and may request additional information from the Department or College AICs or any of the parties involved. CAGAS shall then conduct its hearing in the same manner as provided for the Department and College AICs. No member of CAGAS from the department in which the appeal originated may participate in the deliberations or vote on the case.
3. The Chairperson of CAGAS will then inform the student, faculty member, chair of the department, and dean of the college in writing of the decision in the case. If CAGAS finds that a violation has occurred, then the faculty member will assign the appropriate grade that includes the assigned penalty. If CAGAS finds no violation has occurred, the charge of academic dishonesty will be dismissed. The student may then remain in the course without penalty, withdraw from the course regardless of any published deadlines, or a change of grade form listing the appropriate grade will be submitted.

6. University Level Hearing -- Graduate Student
   1. If the decision of the College AIC is unsatisfactory to either the graduate student or the faculty member, that person will have the right to appeal to the Graduate Council. The written appeal must be filed with the Chairperson of the Graduate Council within fifteen (15) working days after all parties have been notified of the College Committee’s findings. The Chairperson of the College AIC will forward all reports and written materials that had been forwarded to the College AIC to the Chairperson of the Graduate Council upon being notified that there will be an appeal.
   2. In preparation for the hearing, the Chairperson of the Graduate Council shall review the case and may request additional information from the Department or College Committees or any of the parties involved. The Graduate Council shall then conduct its hearing in the same manner as provided for the Department and College Committees. No member of the Graduate Council from the department in which the appeal originated may participate in the deliberations or vote on the case.
   3. The Chairperson of the Graduate Council will then inform the student, faculty member, chair of the department, and dean of the college in writing of the decision in the case. If the Graduate Council finds that a violation has occurred, then the faculty member will assign the appropriate grade that includes the assigned penalty. If the Graduate Council finds no violation has occurred, the charge of academic dishonesty will be dismissed. The student may then remain in the course without penalty, withdraw from the course regardless of any published deadlines, or a change of grade form listing the appropriate grade will be submitted.

Section V. Record Keeping and Access

Individual records of academic dishonesty shall be kept by the Registrar's office for all students and an additional file will be maintained by the Graduate Studies office for graduate students. The only persons having immediate access to these records shall be the President, the Academic Vice President, the Director of the Student Conduct Office, the NCAA Athletic Faculty Representative (for student athletes), and the Chairperson of CAGAS (for undergraduate students) or the Chairperson of the Graduate Council (for graduate students). Any other person(s) wishing to view these files must have the permission of the Chairperson of CAGAS (for undergraduate students) or the Chairperson of the Graduate Council (for graduate students).

The purpose of this record keeping is to ensure that students who violate the University's Student Academic Integrity Policy a second time are dealt with appropriately. A second purpose is to deter students from repeating offenses.
Section VI. Interpretation of the Academic Integrity Policy

Any questions concerning the interpretation or execution of the Academic Integrity Policy will be resolved by CAGAS for undergraduate students and the Graduate Council for graduate students. CAGAS will be informed of all decisions regarding undergraduate student Academic Integrity hearings. The Graduate Council will be informed of all decisions regarding graduate student Academic Integrity hearings.

For the purposes of this policy, working days are days during which the University is open and classes are in session. Days during final exam week are considered working days. If the time allowed for any appeal under this policy extends beyond the end of the academic term in which the incident occurred, that appeal period will be extended to the end of the second week of the next fall or spring semester. Hearings may occur outside of the fall or spring semester only when all parties agree (Section IV.B.1) and there are sufficient committee members present, as outlined in Section IV.A.

All communication from appeal committees described in this policy shall be in the manner of official University communication. Students or faculty members wishing to make a request for an appeal as required in this policy may do so by e-mail to the appropriate party.
UNIVERSITY COURSEWORK
REVALIDATION GUIDELINES
# Revalidation Chart

For the most updated document, see: [http://www.wiu.edu/coehs/education/grad/msci/revalidation%20chart%205.3.2021.pdf](http://www.wiu.edu/coehs/education/grad/msci/revalidation%20chart%205.3.2021.pdf)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Semester the course was taken</th>
<th>Post-Bacc. Certificate</th>
<th>Master's degree</th>
<th>Doctoral degree</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2008</td>
<td>Summer 2011</td>
<td>Summer 2014</td>
<td>Summer 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2009</td>
<td>Fall 2011</td>
<td>Fall 2014</td>
<td>Fall 2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer 2009</td>
<td>Spring 2012</td>
<td>Spring 2015</td>
<td>Spring 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2009</td>
<td>Summer 2012</td>
<td>Summer 2015</td>
<td>Summer 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2010</td>
<td>Fall 2012</td>
<td>Fall 2015</td>
<td>Fall 2017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer 2010</td>
<td>Spring 2013</td>
<td>Spring 2016</td>
<td>Summer 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2010</td>
<td>Summer 2013</td>
<td>Summer 2016</td>
<td>Summer 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2011</td>
<td>Fall 2013</td>
<td>Fall 2016</td>
<td>Fall 2018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer 2011</td>
<td>Spring 2014</td>
<td>Spring 2017</td>
<td>Spring 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2011</td>
<td>Summer 2014</td>
<td>Summer 2017</td>
<td>Summer 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2012</td>
<td>Fall 2014</td>
<td>Fall 2017</td>
<td>Fall 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer 2012</td>
<td>Spring 2015</td>
<td>Spring 2018</td>
<td>Spring 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2012</td>
<td>Summer 2015</td>
<td>Summer 2018</td>
<td>Summer 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2013</td>
<td>Fall 2015</td>
<td>Fall 2018</td>
<td>Fall 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer 2013</td>
<td>Spring 2016</td>
<td>Spring 2019</td>
<td>Spring 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2013</td>
<td>Summer 2016</td>
<td>Summer 2019</td>
<td>Summer 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2014</td>
<td>Fall 2016</td>
<td>Fall 2019</td>
<td>Fall 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer 2014</td>
<td>Spring 2017</td>
<td>Spring 2020</td>
<td>Spring 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2014</td>
<td>Summer 2017</td>
<td>Summer 2020</td>
<td>Summer 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2015</td>
<td>Fall 2017</td>
<td>Fall 2020</td>
<td>Fall 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer 2015</td>
<td>Spring 2018</td>
<td>Spring 2021</td>
<td>Spring 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2015</td>
<td>Summer 2018</td>
<td>Summer 2021</td>
<td>Summer 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2016</td>
<td>Fall 2018</td>
<td>Fall 2021</td>
<td>Fall 2023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer 2016</td>
<td>Spring 2019</td>
<td>Spring 2022</td>
<td>Spring 2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2016</td>
<td>Summer 2019</td>
<td>Summer 2022</td>
<td>Summer 2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2017</td>
<td>Fall 2019</td>
<td>Fall 2022</td>
<td>Fall 2024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer 2017</td>
<td>Spring 2020</td>
<td>Spring 2023</td>
<td>Spring 2025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2017</td>
<td>Summer 2020</td>
<td>Summer 2023</td>
<td>Summer 2025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2018</td>
<td>Fall 2020</td>
<td>Fall 2023</td>
<td>Fall 2025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer 2018</td>
<td>Spring 2021</td>
<td>Spring 2024</td>
<td>Spring 2026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2018</td>
<td>Summer 2021</td>
<td>Summer 2024</td>
<td>Summer 2026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2019</td>
<td>Fall 2021</td>
<td>Fall 2024</td>
<td>Fall 2026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer 2019</td>
<td>Spring 2022</td>
<td>Spring 2025</td>
<td>Spring 2027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2019</td>
<td>Summer 2022</td>
<td>Summer 2025</td>
<td>Summer 2027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2020</td>
<td>Fall 2022</td>
<td>Fall 2025</td>
<td>Fall 2027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer 2020</td>
<td>Spring 2023</td>
<td>Spring 2026</td>
<td>Spring 2028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2020</td>
<td>Summer 2023</td>
<td>Summer 2026</td>
<td>Summer 2028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2021</td>
<td>Fall 2023</td>
<td>Fall 2026</td>
<td>Fall 2028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer 2021</td>
<td>Spring 2024</td>
<td>Spring 2027</td>
<td>Spring 2029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2021</td>
<td>Summer 2024</td>
<td>Summer 2027</td>
<td>Summer 2029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2022</td>
<td>Fall 2024</td>
<td>Fall 2027</td>
<td>Fall 2029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer 2022</td>
<td>Spring 2025</td>
<td>Spring 2028</td>
<td>Spring 2030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2022</td>
<td>Summer 2025</td>
<td>Summer 2028</td>
<td>Summer 2030</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>