Spring 2016 CGE Membership

Marjorie Allison (Dept. of English & Journalism, Humanities/Fine Arts)
Panakkattu Babu (Dept. of Physics, Math/Natural Science)
Rumen Dimitrov (Dept. of Mathematics, Math/Natural Science)
Krista Bowers Sharpe (Library, At-Large)
K. ODonnell-Brown (English, Communication Skills)
Erik Brooks (Dept. of African-American Studies, Multicultural)
Shavez Rosenthal (Student Government Association)
David Zanolla (Dept. of Communication, Communication Skills)
Kyle Mayborn (College of Arts & Sciences, Ex-officio, Dean's Council Representative)
Nancy Parsons (Office of the Provost, Ex-officio, Provost's Representative)
Darcy Plymire (Dept. of Kinesiology, Human Well-Being)
Jongnam Choi (Dept. of Geography, Social Science)
Gary Daytner (Dept of Educational Studies, At-Large)
Keva Hibbert (Dept. of Economics & Decision Sciences, Social Science)
Jeannie Woods (Dept of Theatre & Dance, Humanities/Fine Arts)
Michelle Yager (Advising Center, Ex-officio, COAA Representative)


Elected Members Excused:  Keva Hibbert, Erik Brooks

Ex-Officio Members Present:  Kyle Mayborn, Nancy Parsons, Jane Copland (for Michelle Yager)

Ex-Officio Members Excused/Absent:

Guests Present:  Chuck Lydiard, Sue Hum-Musser, Gloria Delaney-Barmann, Sara Simonson

CGE Chair Marjorie Allison called meeting to order at 3:30 pm in Horrabin 60 on the Macomb Campus

MINUTES, INTRODUCTIONS, AND REPORTS
Jenna moved to approve and PK seconded. Minutes approved with minor typos and names to be corrected.

Welcome to Guests:

Additions to Agenda:
No additions to the agenda

Announcements:
None

REPORTS:
- Office of the President—Dr. Nancy Parsons. No report
- CAS—Dr. Kyle Maybon. No report
- University Advising—Michelle Yager. Encourage students to see advisors and get registered
OLD BUSINESS:

- Biology 200 assessment plan
  - Biology has no preference for which goals to report. They have been reporting on all of them.
  - PK asks whether the knowledge assessment is given before or after the course. It is given after.
  - Nancy asks for clarification on the goals—they no longer are breaking goal four down into sub-goals, as they should.
  - Marjorie wants to know how you measure critical thinking on the T/F test they are given for assessment purposes. The answer is that some questions, though they are T/F require some reasoning. Sue points out how the questions measure the assessment goals of question four. She makes the point that the assessment tool is not used to measure the students’ particular knowledge of biology but of their understanding of the process.
  - Need to revise the assessment plan to make sure that all the sub-goals of question four must be reported as a single question.
  - Rumen wonders if some of the questions can be answered by learning rather than reasoning. Sue responds that they students must be able to reason through the questions, as they are written.
  - Nancy wonders if there are reports on one, two, three, and four, or if they are only really using one and four. Mostly they are using only one and four.
  - Rumen moves to approve the assessment tool for Micro 200 items one and four and Darcy seconds. Committee approves unanimously.

NEW BUSINESS:

- EIS 202
  - EIS already teaches the course to majors, but they believe it would be of interest outside their existing majors and would potentially be a recruiting tool.
  - Nancy is concerned that the course is an “education” course and not a “gen ed” course because it includes a field experience and because it requires a “C” or better in for teaching candidates.
  - The department’s response is that the C requirement is required of them but also that the field experience introduces the experience of teaching to people who have not seen education from that perspective. Clarifies that it is a field trip and not a teaching field experience.
  - Discussion of whether or not other gen ed classes have field trips involving time off campus. The length of the day trip, ten hours, may be regarded as an impediment.
  - Marjorie wonders if the day trip is any more or less a burden than the demands of film classes, which require students to view a film every week. Nancy compares it to the demands of a theater class.
  - Department argues that the field trip is a positive experience for students, from their own reports.
  - Nancy wonders what the enrollment will grow to if the class becomes gen ed and what effect the increased enrollment will have on the feasibility of the field trip.
  - One solution might be to split into two sections.
  - To solve the “C or above” conundrum, they could add language reserving that requirement for teacher ed candidates.
  - Nancy wonders if making this a gen ed will have a deleterious effect on the teacher ed candidates.
  - Marjorie comments that the class seems like an education class and not a gen ed class until the description discusses the socio-political aspects of public education. The
generally well-educated student needs to understand what education (the institution of education) is.
- This would be the first and only Education class in gen ed
- PK wonders if the non-education major would be able to dedicate enough time or expertise to the tutoring assignment listed in the sample syllabus.
- Nancy makes the point that every section in a gen ed must teach the same outcomes, though they need not teach them in the same order or fashion. So, if the tutoring assignment is included in some sections, it must be in all sections.
- Marjorie says the syllabus must make it clear that students will have the opportunity to make revisions to written assignments. She also worries that the tutoring assignment would be daunting to non-education majors.
- David says that the readings seem more like a 300-level class than a 200-level class.
- Wants to hear other’s thoughts. Wonders if the gen ed student might be out of his/her level.
- Response is that the course used to be 300-level but was changed to 200-level during curriculum and program changes
- Rumen sees on the title page of the syllabus requirements that pertain directly to education students and definitively not to non-education students
- Marjorie says we will need a new syllabus to go to the Senate, if we pass the proposal
- Jeannie would like to see the syllabus before we approve the course. She also thinks that the course needs to be reimagined to decide how to meet the differing needs of education and gen ed students. She thinks it would make a contribution to gen ed, but there are concerns about how to meet the needs of both.
- Marjorie would like to see a justification for the field trip—should perhaps not call it “field experience” which has other connotations. The question of the trip’s importance to their overall grade is also questioned. The defense is that the trip leads to a written assignment and reflection. Nancy is concerned that the rubric for the assignment is very much more involved than one for a gen ed class.
- Marjorie summarizes what we want in terms of the course request:
  - New syllabus
  - Clarification of the necessity of the field trip for the purposes of a gen ed class, not just the purposes of an education class
  - Clarification of language at the top of page 4, point 3.b
  - We would like the ESL tutoring assignment taken out or presented as an optional experience for interested students
  - Clarify which students under what conditions will need a background check
- Marjorie thinks that we need to clarify our instructions for the assessment goals
- For this class must every student submit to a background check, because there may be class activities that involve contact with children?
- Marjorie discusses what we want for the assessment plan. She is going to send them a better exemplar. She will also ask them to change their complex rubric to fit the expectations of gen ed
- Jeannie returns to the question of background checks. She thinks that if the field trip requires a background check, that tells us that the class is not a gen ed. Gen ed classes should not include that sort of requirement.
- The superintendent of the school has the complete discretion to decide whether or not the background check will be required. This is a serious impediment to the consideration of the class
- We will want to talk about the form and the website instructions for assessment

GOOD OF THE ORDER
PK announces a public lecture in Morgan 109 tonight at 6:00 pm

Motion:
Meeting adjourned at 4:38 pm
CGE will next convene at 3:30 p.m. on Thursday, April 21, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

Dr. Darcy C. Plymire (CGE Secretary)